UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,692	10/05/2006	Prediman K. Shah	67789-623	2885
	7590 03/25/200 HT TREMAINE LLP/I	EXAMINER		
865 FIGUEROA STREET SUITE 2400 LOS ANGELES, CA 90017-2566			EPPS SMITH, JANET L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1633	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/25/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		599,692	SHAH ET AL.				
		miner	Art Unit				
	Jane	et L. Epps-Smith	1633				
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appears	on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxin - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	HE MAILING DATE (risions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). It is communication. Jum statutory period will apply reply will, by statute, cause on the after the mailing date or	OF THIS COMMUNI In no event, however, may a y and will expire SIX (6) MOI the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s	s) filed on 05 Octobe	r 2006					
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This actio						
/ <u></u>	/ 		ters prosecution as to th	e merits is			
, —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims			,				
·	tla a a a a li a a ti a a						
·—	Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	is/are withdrawn ird	im consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	L-						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected							
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> are subject to res	triction and/or election	on requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to b	y the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Reviation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 				

Art Unit: 1633

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claim(s) 1, 3-8, 10-13, 15-16, drawn to a cell carrying a recombinant adeno-associated viral (rAAV) vector, a recombinant adeno-associated viral vector, or a composition comprising a recombinant adeno-associated viral vector comprising an exogenous gene encoding Apolipoprotein A-I, a derivative thereof, or a fragment thereof.

Group 2, claim(s) 1-16, drawn to a cell carrying a recombinant adeno-associated viral (rAAV) vector, a recombinant adeno-associated viral vector, or a composition comprising a recombinant adeno-associated viral vector comprising an exogenous gene encoding Apolipoprotein A-I_{Milano}, a derivative thereof, or a fragment thereof.

Group 3, claim(s) 17, and 19-27 drawn to a method of treating a condition in a mammal comprising providing a recombinant adeno-associated viral vector comprising an exogenous gene encoding Apolipoprotein A-I, a derivative thereof, or a fragment thereof.

Group 4, claim(s) 17-28, drawn to drawn to a method of treating a condition in a mammal comprising providing a recombinant adeno-associated viral vector comprising an exogenous gene encoding Apolipoprotein A-I_{Milano}, a derivative thereof, or a fragment thereof.

Group 5, claim(s) 29-35, drawn to a process/method for the production of a rAAV vector wherein said first plasmid expresses an exogenous gene encoding Apolipoprotein A- I_{Milano} , a derivative thereof, or a fragment thereof, wherein the rAAV vector is targeted for the delivery to a specific tissue type.

Group 6, claim(s) 29-35, drawn to a process/method for the production of a rAAV vector wherein said first plasmid expresses an exogenous gene encoding Apolipoprotein A-I, a

Art Unit: 1633

derivative thereof, or a fragment thereof, wherein the rAAV vector is targeted for the delivery to a specific tissue type.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups 1-6 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The instant claims share the technical relationship of being drawn to the adenoviral expression of an exogenous human Apolipoprotein A-I gene. However, this technical relationship does not make a contribution over the prior art, since Pastore et al. teach helper-dependent adenoviral vector-mediated long-term expression of apolipoprotein A1, wherein expression of human Apo A1 reduces atherosclerosis in an apo E-deficient mouse. Therefore, Groups 1-6 lack unity invention since the groups do not share any special technical relationship that makes a contribution over the prior art.
- 3. Furthermore, as per 37 CFR § 1.475(b) the instant claims are drawn to multiple products and multiple methods. See 37 CFR § 1.475(b)-(d): "[A]n international or a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:
- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
- (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.
- (c) If an application contains claims to more or less than one of the combinations of categories of invention set forth in paragraph (b) of this section, unity of invention might not be present.
- (d) If multiple products, processes of manufacture or uses are claimed, the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims of the application and the first recited invention of each of the other categories related thereto will be considered as the main invention in the claims, see PCT Article 17(3)(a) and § 1.476(c).

Art Unit: 1633

4. Therefore, in the instant case, as per CFR § 1.475(d), since the instant claims are drawn to multiple categories of invention, i.e. the claims recite multiple patentably distinct products and methods, the instant claims are considered to lack unity of invention.

Rejoinder

- 5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejections are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.
- 6. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See

Art Unit: 1633

"Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re

Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order

to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised

that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain

dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product

claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

7. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C.

121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner

before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant's reply

8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims

encompassing the elected invention.

9. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To

preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does

not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement,

the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 1633

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Janet L. Epps-Smith whose telephone number is 571-

272-0757. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 10:00 AM through 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Joseph Woitach can be reached on 571-272-0739. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Janet L. Epps-Smith/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633